

Content



- Accurate off-line EMT simulations
 - Objectives and challenges
 - Solutions
- Simulation of very large grids with renewables
- IBR model conformity assessment

Introduction: Context

- Massive integration of renewable energy sources in modern power grids
- o Inverter based resources (IBRs) will become predominant in power grids
- Accurate tools are required to simulate and study power grids with IBRs
- Existing classic simulation tools are encountering major difficulties for simulating IBRs
- New trend: unified environment with accurate models for studying both electromagnetic and electromechanical transients

3

- >In the context of IBR integration, the main objectives of an offline EMT software are:
- > To provide reliable results
- Provide results as fast as possible
- > Deliver user-friendly tools: easy to use, customizable, parametric options
- Manage database

≻The challenges are:

- Maintain accuracy and computational speed
- Lack of experience
- Manufacturer models: typically, black-box

➢ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

Precision: EMTP[®] provides a pure mathematical solver

➢ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

- Precision: EMTP[®] provides a pure mathematical solver
 - Fully iterative solver for non-linear power components (IGBT, surge-arrester, saturation, etc.)

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ EMT simulation objectives and challenges





$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ EMT simulation objectives and challenges



• Transformer energization with no iteration



∜∕∕∕ 0.05

> + ₿?vif

0/1e15ms/0

➢ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

- Precision: EMTP[®] provides a pure mathematical solver
 - Fully iterative solver for non-linear power components (IGBT, surge-arrester, saturation, etc.)
 - Iterates control when algebraic loops are present

EMT simulation objectives and challenges

➢ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

Precision: EMTP[®] provides a pure mathematical solver

- Fully iterative solver for non-linear power components (IGBT, surge-arrester, saturation, etc.)
- Iterates control when algebraic loops are present
- Use multiple integration methods (trapezoidal and Backward Euler) to avoid numerical problems



➢ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

- > Speed: EMTP[®] uses innovative matrix solver technics
 - Modified augmented nodal analysis example: power amplifier
 - Uses KLU-based sparse matrix solver
 - Uses partial refactorization
 - User parallele processing (costly)







➤ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

- Speed: EMTP[®] uses innovative matrix solver technics
 - Uses KLU-based sparse matrix solver
 - Uses partial refactorization
 - User parallele processing (costly)
 - Reduce initialization time





EMT simulation objectives and challenges



≻How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

- ➤ User friendly:
 - Easy and intuitive GUI
 - No need to add artificial delays
 - Personalized technical support
 - Database management and contingency analysis tools available
 - Customized developments to fit individual needs
 - Provide CIGRE standard DLL interface

➤ How EMTP[®] answers the challenges?

- Manage Database: EMTP[®] provides import tools from PSS/E or CIM formats
 - No limit in the number of buses
 - Allow to import reduced networks
 - > Allow to update existing EMTP design with a PSS/E file.
 - > Allow to import custom devices, such as manufacturer specific model.
 - > Auto-validate the importation using EMTP and PSS/E load-flow solvers.

EMTP® Numerical Performance for IBRs, example



- Number of IBRs: 75 (PVs and Wind turbines), AVM, average number of blocks: 1500
- Number of Synchronous Machines: 60
- > Transformers with magnetization curves, average of 2 iterations per time-point
- Grid size: 300 transmission lines in CP mode
- Simulation interval: 1s
- Numerical integration time-step: 50us

EMTP® Numerical Performance for IBRs, example



- > 8-CPUs (i7-11850H), simple laptop
 - Control systems DLL based (manufacturer type): 8s
 - Network equations (1-CPU): 21s
 - With all accuracy options, iterations, trapezoidal+Backward Euler integration

Offline screening tool E-Interconnect



>Automate everything which can be automated.

- Embed in the tool some of the expertise we built over the years on IBR modeling and model verification.
- ➤Automatically verify grid-code compliance.
- Propose an automatic validation method capable of giving the green light to the majority of cases.
- >This tool would only help to accept model, not to refuse.
- Some cases will still require engineering judgment to be accepted. The goal is to reduce the amount with time.
- ➤The same tool is used by the GO and the ISO.

Proposed solution



➤The proposed solution is being used already by the French ISO.

>It is ISO specific.

- >An IEEE 2800 version is in development.
- ➢ For automatic validations, we are waiting for the outcome of current IEEE 2800 and NERC works but we have already some solutions available.
- ➢Once properly setup, the entire verification last few hours and ISO engineers may focus on more advanced validations.

Example: flat start with 5% tolerance



Example: testing different time-steps





Coming tools and research projects

- Data management and calculation speed keep being improved
- Easy automation of contingency analysis (no code tool)
- Digital twin application for online security assessment in control rooms.
- Much more (confidential)



QUESTIONS

Henry Gras EMTP COO

